IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TEXAS

Misc. Docket No. 05- 9107

APPROVAL OF ETHICAL GUIDELINES FOR MEDIATORS

The Supreme Court of Texas has long recognized the need for oversight of the quality of mediation in Texas. During the initial public debate of the issue, some mediation practitioners proposed adopting ethical rules of mediators to enhance the quality of Texas mediation and mediators. Others advocated mediation licensing or credentialing.

The Court determined that, at minimum, ethical rules should be implemented and enforced. Thus, the Court created the Advisory Committee on Court-Annexed Mediations to formulate mediation ethics rules that address, among other things, the avoidance and disclosure of conflicts of interest and the timely disclosure of fees. The Court also instructed the Advisory Committee to study whether further oversight, such as licensing or credentialing, was warranted.

The Committee began its work by gathering relevant materials from various organizations throughout the country, including organizations unrelated to the practice of law and the justice system. These voluminous materials were reviewed by individual members and subcommittees for presentation to the full Committee. The Committee met formally numerous times, and, as a result of this work, the Committee proposed several recommendations to the Court.

Ultimately, the Committee concluded that there currently was no consensus within the mediation profession in Texas as to whether the Supreme Court should become involved in credentialing and/or registration of mediators. Therefore, the committee

¹Order Creating Advisory Committee on Court-Annexed Mediations, Misc. Docket No. 96-9125 (May 7, 1996). Members of the Committee were Tony Alvarado, Karl Bayer, Gary Condra, Herb Cook, Hon. Suzanne Covington, Claude Ducloux, Suzanne Duvall, John Estes, Hon. Frank Evans, Hon. Charles Gonzalez, Carol Hoffman, Dr. Lou Lasher, Bill Low, Hon. Tom McDonald, Hon. Margaret Mirabal, Lanelle Montgomery, William M. Morris, Hon. Jay Patterson, Ross Rommel, Michael J. Schless, Maxel "Bud" Silverberg, Rena Silverberg, Sid Stahl, Bruce Stratton, and Michael Wolf.

recommended that the Court take no action with regard to credentialing.

The Committee, however, concluded that there currently is consensus within the Texas mediation profession that the Court should promulgate ethical rules. Therefore, the committee recommended the Court adopt as its own aspirational guidelines those guidelines that the Alternative Dispute Resolution section of the State Bar of Texas has adopted.

The Court accepts this recommendation. The Court is committed to ensuring the continued quality of mediators and mediation services in Texas. Thus, the Court promulgates and adopts the attached Ethical Guidelines for Mediators.

These rules are aspirational. Compliance with the rules depends primarily upon understanding and voluntary compliance, secondarily upon reenforcement by peer pressure and public opinion, and finally when necessary by enforcement by the courts through their inherent powers and rules already in existence.

Moreover, counsel representing parties in the mediation of a pending case remain officers of the court in the same manner as if appearing in court. They are subject to the Texas Disciplinary Rules for Lawyers and any local rules or orders of the court regarding the mediation of pending cases. They should aspire during mediation to follow The Texas Lawyer's Creed—A Mandate for Professionalism. Counsel shall cooperate with the court and the mediator in the initiation and conduct of the mediation.

In Chambers, this 13th day of June, 2005.

ETHICAL GUIDELINES FOR MEDIATORS

PREAMBLE

These Ethical Guidelines are intended to promote public confidence in the mediation process and to be a general guide for mediator conduct. They are not intended to be disciplinary rules or a code of conduct. Mediators should be responsible to the parties, the courts and the public, and should conduct themselves accordingly. These Ethical Guidelines are intended to apply to mediators conducting mediations in connection with all civil, criminal, administrative and appellate matters, whether the mediation is pre–suit or court–annexed and whether the mediation is court–ordered or voluntary.

GUIDELINES

1. <u>Mediation Defined.</u> Mediation is a private process in which an impartial person, a mediator, encourages and facilitates communications between parties to a conflict and strives to promote reconciliation, settlement, or understanding. A mediator should not render a decision on the issues in dispute. The primary responsibility for the resolution of a dispute rests with the parties.

Comment. A mediator's obligation is to assist the parties in reaching a voluntary settlement. The mediator should not coerce a party in any way. A mediator may make suggestions, but all settlement decisions are to be made voluntarily by the parties themselves.

2. <u>Mediator Conduct.</u> A mediator should protect the integrity and confidentiality of the mediation process. The duty to protect the integrity and confidentiality of the mediation process commences with the first communication to the mediator, is continuous in nature, and does not terminate upon the conclusion of the mediation.

Comment (a). A mediator should not use information obtained during the mediation for personal gain or advantage.

Comment (b). The interests of the parties should always be placed above the personal interests of the mediator.

Comment (c). A mediator should not accept mediations which cannot be completed in a timely manner or as directed by a court.

Comment (d). Although a mediator may advertise the mediator's qualifications and availability to mediate, the mediator should not solicit a specific case or matter.

Comment (e). A mediator should not mediate a dispute when the mediator has knowledge that another mediator has been appointed or selected without first consulting with the other mediator or the parties unless the previous mediation has been concluded.

- 3. <u>Mediation Costs.</u> As early as practical, and before the mediation session begins, a mediator should explain all fees and other expenses to be charged for the mediation. A mediator should not charge a contingent fee or a fee based upon the outcome of the mediation. In appropriate cases, a mediator should perform mediation services at a reduced fee or without compensation.
 - **Comment (a).** A mediator should avoid the appearance of impropriety in regard to possible negative perceptions regarding the amount of the mediator's fee in court–ordered mediations. **Comment (b).** If a party and the mediator have a dispute that cannot be resolved before commencement of the mediation as to the mediator's fee, the mediator should decline to serve so that the parties may obtain another mediator.
- **4.** <u>Disclosure of Possible Conflicts.</u> Prior to commencing the mediation, the mediator should make full disclosure of any known relationships with the parties or their counsel that may affect or give the appearance